EFFECT OF SULPHUR DIOXIDE AND INSECTICIDE INTERACTION ON GROWTH AND SEED CHARACTERS OF *ORYZA SATIVA*.

S.B. AGRAWAL AND M. AGRAWAL

Centre of Advanced Study in Botant, Banaras Hindu University Varanasi - 221 005

Effects of SO₂ and quinalphos, an organophosphorus insecticide were studied singly and in combination on growth and seed characters of Oryza sativa plants. SO₂ and quinalphos interfered with plant growth and development leading to reductions in their various morphological parameters. Similarly, grains obtained from treated plants showed quantitative and qualitative deterioration. The magnitude of adverse effects were maximum in SO₂ exposed plants followed by those exposed to SO₂ as well as sprayed with quinalphos and then in quinalphos sprayed plants showing an antagonistic mode of action between SO₂ and quinalphos with respect to above parameters in rice plants.

Key words: Oryza sativa Growth, Seed characters, Sulphur dioxide, Quinalphos.

Sulphur dioxide is a common air contaminant in urban and industrial environment with high concentrations occurring near thermal power plants, smelters and industrial complexes that use fossil fuels as an energy source. A thermal power plant, with daily consumption of 10,000 metric tons of coal may emit, 50-190 metric tons of SO₂ daily in the adjoining area depending upon the sulphur content of coal (Rao, 1985). Even at low concentrations (50-120 mg m⁻³), SO₂ can interfere with the various physiological and biochemical processes of plants which may lead to growth and yield reductions even in absence of any visible foliar injury sysptoms (Heath, 1980; Last, 1982). Pesticides have made great impact in agricultural practices specially in India, where large quantities of pesticides (approximately 67,000 metric tons/yr) green revolution. Combinations of are used for pesticides and SO₂ may be important in many agricultural areas but little is known of their potential effects (Matsushima & Harada, 1965; Carney et al., 1973; Hatzios-Kriton & Yang, 1983; Agrawal et al., 1987 a,b). Pesticides can interact with gaseous pollutants and may modify plant responses. Carney et al., (1973) studied phytotoxic interactions in plants treated with herbicide benefin and exposed to ozone and found less injury on tobacco cultivars. The effects of SO, and pesticide combinations may be less than the additive effects of the single pollutant (antagonistic), greater than the additive effects (Synergistic) or equal to the additive effects (additive).

The present study deals with the effects of SO_2 and Ekalux Ec 25 (a commercial formulation of organophosphorus insecticide quinalphos of M/S Sandoz India Ltd.) singly and in combination on growth and

seed characters of *Oryza sativa* L. cv. Jaya Plants. Quinalphos [O,O-diethyl - 1 - O - quinoxalinyl - (2) thionophosphate] is a contact insecticide of proven eficacy against a wide range of insect pests.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rice plants were raised in nursery condition and seedings when 20-day old were transplanted in 25 cm diameter pots (4 plants in 2 hills/pot) containing sandy loam soil. All plants were watered frequently to maintain soil moisture level to maximum field capacity.

At 45 day age, plants with uniform growth (about 42 leaves) were separated into five batches of 14 pots each designated as C for control, S for plants exposed to 0.5 ppm SO, for 1.0 hr, Q for plants sprayed with 0.0187% quinalphos solution (30 ml/pot), Q₁S for plants sprayed with 0.0187% quinalphos solution (30) ml/pot) and then exposed to 0.5 ppm SO₂ for 1 hr and Q,S for plants exposed to 0.5 ppm SO_2 for 1 hr then sprayed with 0.0187% quinalphos solution (30 ml/pot). Each treatment was repeated at 7 days interval up to 66 days of plant age. The dose of quinalphos was much less than that recommended for usual agronomic practices. The chemical Ekalux Ec 25 has 25% quinalphos as active ingradient. SO₂ fumigation was done by the method described by Agarwal et al. (1987a). SO, concentration within the chamber was continuously monitored with the help of SO_2 Analyser (Model-319, Kimoto, Japan).

The fresh intact plant samples were analysed for root and shoot lengths and number of leaves, tillers and ears at 55, 70 and 85 days plant ages. The crop was harvested at maturity and their grains were collected.

٩

| Plant age days) | Characters | С | S | Q ₁ S | Q ₂ S | Q |
|--------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | RL | 15.5±1.3 | 12.5±1.1 | 13.5±0.9* | 13.6±0.8 | 15.0±1.1• |
| | SL | 78.5±3.2 | 66.5±3.2 | 69.1±5.2* | 70.2±2.2• | 74.2±2.1* |
| 55 | NOL | 58.2±2.2 | 45.1±2.4* | 47.1±4.1 | 48.0±4.2* | 52.1±4.5* |
| | NOT | 14.0±1.2 | 10.0±0.5° | 11.0±0.4* | 10.0±0.6 ^b | 12.5±1.2 |
| | NOE | - | - | | | - |
| | RL | 18.0±1.1 | 14.2±0.1° | 14.8±0.2 ^b | 15.1±0.8 ^b | 17.0±2.1• |
| | SL | 100.5±6.1 | 82.5±0.8* | 85.5±0.1° | 86.5±0.6 ^b | 93.0±2.8 |
| 70 | NOL | 60.5±1.5 | 45.5±2.1° | 48.1±1.1° | 48.0±1.5° | 55.0±2.1 |
| | NOT | 16.0±0.9 | 10.5±1.0° | 12.5±0.8 ^b | 12.1±0.6 ^b | 14.0±1.2 |
| | NOE | 6.0±0.1 | 3.0±0.024 | 4.1±0.084 | 4.0±0.02 ^d | 5.0±0.03 ^b |
| | RL | 20.6±0.9 | 16.1±0.8* | 15.0±1.2 ^b | 15.5±0.8° | 18.3±0.1* |
| | SL | 108.0±6.2 | 87.6±0.5° | 94.1±1.0* | 95.6±0.5° | 100.2±2.1* |
| 85 | NOL | 64.0±1.8 | 48.1±2.1¢ | 51.0±1.2° | 50.2±1.5° | 58.0±1.1° |
| | NOT | 16.0±0.11 | 11.0±0.34 | 12.5±0.5° | 12.0±0.5° | 14.0±0.7° |
| | NOE | 16.0±0.2 | 8.5±0.34 | 11.2±0.5 | 12.0±0.4° | 14.1±0.5 ^b |

Table 1: Morphological characters plant¹ of C, S, Q₁S, Q₂S and Q plant sets at different ages of their growth (mean values \pm SE) RL = Root length (cm), SL = Shoot length (cm), NOL = No. of leves, NOT = No. of tillers, NOE = >0. of ears)

c = p < 0.01;

d = p < 0.001.

| Table 2: | Qualitative charcteristics of rice grains collected from C, S, Q_1S , Q_2S AND Q plant sets (mean values $\pm S E$) |
|----------|--|
|----------|--|

| Character | С | S | Q ₁ S | Q₂S | Q |
|---|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Wt 1000 grain (g) | 23.50±0.85 | 14.20±0.304 | 18.50±0.30* | 18.70±0.20 ^c | 21.80±0.40° |
| Energy (Kcal g ⁻¹ d wi) | 4.56±0.10 | 3.21±0.13° | 3.80±0.14 ^b | 3.85±0.16 ^b | 4.00±0.13 ^b |
| Sulphur mg g ⁻¹ | 3.80±0.12 | 4.25±0.01° | 4.15±0.03 ^b | 4.18±0.02 ^b | 3.81±0.11* |
| Starch mg g ⁻¹ | 731.40±12.0 | 656.40±10.0* | 689.00±9.00* | 695.00±5.60° | 715.00±12.0° |
| Total solubla sugar mg g ⁻¹ | 12.00±0.30 | 13.20±0.12 ^e | 12.50±0.11° | 12.70±0.15 ^b | 11.90±0.22* |
| Reducing sugar mg g ⁻¹ | 1.10±0.05 | 1.50±0.02 ^b | 1.30±0.01° | 1.32±0.02 ^b | 1.10±0.01* |
| Protein mg g ⁻¹ | 200.0±0.90 | 162.00±1.5 ^{-#} | 176.00±2.50 ⁴ | 178.00±1.804 | 195.50±2.80* |
| Nitrogen % | 1.77±0.03 | 1.45±0.01 ⁴ | 1.58±0.02° | 1.59±0.02° | 1.69±0.02* |
| Phosphorus mg g ⁻¹ | 4.30±0.09 | 3.90±0.05° | 4.80±0.04 ^b | 4.05±0.04 ^b | 4.21±0.02* |
| Calcium nug g ⁻¹ | 0.70±0.02 | 0.50±0.01° | 0.57±0.02° | 0.58±0.01° | 0.62±0.01° |
| Potassium mg g ⁻¹ | 5.40±0.80 | 2.92±0.12* | 3.13±0.10• | 3.15±0.11* | 3.20±0.08* |
| Level of significance: $a = Not$ Significant c = p < 0.01; | | b = p < 0.05; | | | |
| | | d = p < 0.001. | | | |

Weight of 1000 grains was determined. For determination of energy, minerals, (S, N, P, K & Ca) and metabolites (starch, protein, and sugars), seeds were dried in a hot oven at 80°C for 24 hr and then powdered. Energy content (k cal g⁻¹ dry wt) was determined by the method of Leith (1986). The N content in grain samples was determined using micro-Kjeldahl technique (Piper, 1966). For P, S, K and Ca, the grian samples were digested in a muffle furnace at 480°C and then cooled. Ten ml of 1.0 N HCl was added, and the mixture was filtered and final volume was made up to 100 ml with distilled water. The S content (mg g⁻¹ dry wt) was determined by the turbidimetric method of Rossum and Villarruz (1961). The P content was determined by the method of Jackson (1958). Ca²⁺ and K⁺ were determined in digested material using flame photometer, Perkin-Elmer, type-121 (Jackson, 1958). Total soluble sugars and reducing sugars were determined by methods of Dubios et al. (1956) and Somogyi (1952) respectively. Determinations of starch and portein contents were done by using the methods of McCready et al. (1950) and Lowry et al. (1951) respectively.

An average value of five replicates was taken for each of these parameters. Data were analysed using students 't' test for significance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Rice plants treated with SO₂ and quinalphos singly and in combination showed unfavourable changes in growth and development as compared to control (Table 1). Lengths of root and shoot and numbers of tillers, leaves and ears reduced with maximum reduction in SO₂ fumigated plants followed by Q_1S and Q_2S and then in Q plant sets. Plant height did not de rease significantly in Q plant set at any age but number of leaves, tillers and ears reduced significantly (P>0.05) at 85 days plant age. In other plant sets, these reductions were not significant during first sampling (55-day) but later became significant with more pollutant stress. It is suggested that SO₂ interferes with various physiological and biochemical processes leading to a decrease in photosynthate production and dry matter accumulation (Last, 1982; Black, 1982). The photosymthetic leaves were affected maximally in S, Q_1 S and Q_2 S plant sets causing reduction in food synthesis and eventually in dry matter accumulation of root, shoot, tillers and ears. Decrease in growth of under ground parts and ears of treated plants may be

consequent to decrease in growth of above ground parts, because when shoot synthesized food became too deficient to maintain the normal growth rate, sinks such as roots and reproductaive parts are more severely affected than the foliage due to reduced translocation of metabolites.

The weight per 1000 grains and energy content of grains obtained from S, Q₁S, Q₂S, and Q plant sets reduced significantly by 39.5 & 29.6, 21.3 & 16.6, 20.4 & 13.4 and 7.2 & 12.3%, respectively (Table2). The quality of grains obtained from treated plant sets was inferior to those obtained from control which suggests impairment of grain setting during pollution stress. Sulphur content in grains of S, Q_1S and Q_2S plants increased by 11.8, 9.2 and 10.0%, respectively. In contrast N, P, K and Ca contents decreased in gains as compared to control (Table 2). The amounts of soluble and reducing sugars were significantly higher in S, Q, S and Q, S plants but protein and starch contents of grains obtained from S, Q₁S and Q₂S plant sets were lower than those of the control. These two changes may be related and indicate either an inhibition in starch synthesis from hexose or a stimulation of starch hydrolysis (Koziol & Jordan, 1978). Changes in protein and starch turnover in treated plants grain are likely a reflection of biochemical differentiation during development of treated plants under SO₂ and quinalphos stress. Further, the decreases in metabolites and minerals of treated plant sets support the view of impared or reduced translocation of these essential constituents to developing ears.

It is well known that SO_2 decreases the rate of photosynthesis and increase respiration rate (Hallgren, 1978). Possibly, these unfavourable changes in plants are responsible for higher levels of sugars and conisquent lower values for evergy.

The data on various parameters suggest that maximum unfavourable changes were observed for SO_2 fumigated plants followed by Q_1S and Q_2S and minimum in Q plant sets. Significant increases in growth performance and yield and quality of seeds produced by Q_1S and Q_2S plants as compared to S plants suggest that quinalphos reduces the sensitivity of plants to SO_2 . There was no significant difference between the effects of pre- and post-quinalphos spray application with SO_2 fumigation which further suggested that mode of such protection is mainly biochemical and not through stomatal regulation.

 SO_2 after entering through stomata gets dissoved in water of intercellular spaces and of mesophyll cell walls to form sulphurous acid which later dissociates into H⁺ and HSO₃⁻ or SO₃²⁻ ions. Sulphite and bisulphite ions oxidise to sulphate involving free radical reactions (Asada & Kiso, 1973). Possibly, quinalphos forms conjugates with these active sulphur species which may restrict excess free radical generation and subsequent changes in various physiological and biochemical processes. The higher values for sulphur content in SO₂ exposed seeds as compared to Q₁S and Q₂S seeds further support an antagonistic mode of action between quinalphos and SO₂ in rice plants.

We thank Head and Programme Co-ordinator, C.A.S. in Botany for facilities and U.G.C., New Delhi for financial assistance to S.B.A..

REFERENCES

Agrawal S B, M Agrawal, P K Nandi & D N Rao 1987a Effects of sulphur dioxide and quinalphos singly and in combination on the metabolic functions and growth of *Oryza sativa L Proc Ind Natl Sci Acad B* 53 169-172.

Agrawal S B, M Agrawal, P K Nandi & D N Rao 1987b Effects of sulphur dioxide and Ekalux (Ec-25) singly and in combination on the photosynthetic pigments of Oryza sativa L plants J Env Biol 8 315-321.

Asada K & K Kiso 1973 Invitiation of aerobic oxidation of sulfite by illuminated spinach chloroplasts *Eur J Biochem* 33 253-257.

Black V J 1982 Effects of sulphur dioxide on physiological process in plants 67-91 pp. In Effects of gaseous pollutants in agriculture and horticulture Eds M H Unsworth & D P Ormord Butterworths London.

Carney A W, G R Stehienson, D P Ormrod & C C Ashton 1973 Ozone herbicide interactions in crop plants *Weed Sci* 21 508-511.

Dubios M, K A Gilifs, J K Hemilton, P A Robertes & F Smith 1956 Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances *Anal Chem* 28 350-356.

Hallgren J E 1978 Physiological and biochemical effects of sulpher dioxide on plants 164-209 pp. In

Sulphur in evrionment Part II. Ecological impacts E D Jonriau, John Wiley & Sons Inc London.

Hatzius-Kriton K & Y S Yang 1983 Ozone herbicide interactions on Sorghum(Sorghum bicolor cultivar Funk GC 23) and velvate leaf (Abutilon theophraste) seddlings Weed Sci 31 857-861.

Heath R L 1980 Initial events in injury to plants by air pollutants Ann Rev Pl Physiol 31 395-431.

Jackson M L 1958 Soil chemical analysis. Asia Publ House Bombay.

Koziol M J & C F Jordan 1978 Changes in carbohydrate levels in red kidney bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) exposed to sulphur dioxide *J Expt Bot* 29 1037-1043.

Last F T 1982 Effects of atmospheric sulphur compouds on natural and man made terrestrial and aquatic ecosystem *Ag Environ* 7 299-387.

Leith H 1968 The measurement of calorific values of biological material and determination of ecological efficiency 233-242 pp. In *Proc Copenhagen Symp* UNESCO Ed *FE ECKARDT*.

Lowry O H, N J Rosenbroucgh, A L Farr & R J Randall 1951 Protein measurement with folin phenol reagent *J Biol Chem* **193** 265-275.

Matsushima J & M Harada 1965 Sulphur dioxide gas injury to fruit tree III Leaf fall of citrus trees by Bordeaux spray before sulphur dioxide fumigation J Jap Soc Hort Sci 34 272-276.

Mc Cready R M, J Goggoiz, V Silviera & Owens 1950 Determination of starch and amylose in vegetables *Anal Chem* 22 1156-1158.

Piper C S 1966 Soil and plant analysis. Intersci Publ Inc NY.

Rao D N 1985 Air Pollution and Plants 60-71 pp. In Biological Memories Vol 11 International Publishers Lucknow.

Rossum JR & P Villarruz 1961 Suggested methods for turbidimetric determination of sulphate in water J Am Water Works Assoc 53 873. pp.

Somogyi H 1952 Notes on sugar determination *J Biol* Chem 195 19-23.